IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



n re Mayumi KOTANI et al.

Group Art Unit: 1614

Application No.: 10/537,339

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

Filed: June 2, 2005

Attorney Docket No.: 8156/84352

Confirmation No.: 3456

Customer No.: 42798

SUBMISSION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMIANRY EXAMINATION REPORT

Commissioner for Patents Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 2234

Sir:

Applicants submit herewith a copy of the English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report issued for the basic PCT application (PCT/JP2003/015572) of the above-referenced application.

Respectfully submitted,

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

Date: January 27, 2006

Kendrew H. Colton Registration No. 30,368

OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO
Customer No. 42798
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY
1801 K Street, NW, Suite 401L
Washington, DC 20006-1201

Tel: (202) 419-7000 Fax: (202) 419-7007

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/JP2003/015572

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			
P03-137	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/JP2003/015572	International filing date (day/month/year 05 December 2003 (05.12.2003	. [
		. (00.12.002)	
Applicant	SUNSTAR INC.		
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	ninary examination report, established by mitted to the applicant according to Articl	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	8 sheets, including this cov		
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising	er sheet.	
	to the International Bureau) a total of	shoots on follows	
sheets of the descr and/or sheets conta Administrative Ins	allille rectifications attinorized by this All	e been amended and are the basis of this report thority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
sheets which super beyond the disclos Supplemental Box.	die in the international application as file	rity considers contain an amendment that goes d, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
b. (sent to the Internation	al Bureau only) a total of (indicate	type and number of electronic carrier(s))	
	licated in the Supplemental Box Relating	ting and/or tables related thereto, in computer to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the	
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:		
Box No. I Basis of the rep	ort		
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of			
Box No. V Reasoned stater citations and ex	nent under Article 35(2) with regard to no planations supporting such statement	velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
Box No. VI Certain docume			
Box No. VII Certain defects	in the international application		
Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the international application		
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	Date of completion of this report	
30 April 2004 (30.04.20	104)	ovember 2004 (11.11.2004)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.		

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/015572

Box M	D. 1	Basis of the report
1. With	n regard rwise in	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless dicated under this item.
	This which	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, h is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
	, , ,	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
]		
	are not d	to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"
		ternational application as originally filed/furnished
		scription:
	pages pages*	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the cla	10001ved by this Authority on
		нць.
	pages pages*	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	, as affiended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the dre	
	the dra	wings:
	pages*	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on received by this Authority on
	a seque	ance listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
·		
3	The am	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	ti	ne description, pages
	tl	ne claims, Nos.
	☐ tì	ne drawings, sheets/figs
	[tl	ne sequence listing (specify):
	a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
		1 and the state of
	This rep made, s (Rule 70	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box
	_	ne description, pages
	Π _{th}	ne claims, Nos.
		e drawings sheets/fins
	H th	e drawings, sheets/figsesquence listing (coaciful)
		e sequence listing (specify):
	L ⁴¹	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
* If item	4 applie	es, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."
Form PC	T/IDE A	/409 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/015572

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opini	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The que	stions whether the claimed invention to have not been examined in response	on appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially ect of:
	the entire international application	on.
\boxtimes	claims Nos.	18-23
becaus	the said international application	n, or the said claims Nos
	the description, claims or drawing are so unclear that no meaningful	ngs (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosl opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos by the description that no meanin	ngful opinion could be formed.
\boxtimes	no international search report has	s been established for said claims Nos
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid Administrative Instructions in tha	sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the at:
	the written form	has not been furnished
	the computer readable form	does not comply with the standard has not been furnished
		does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide the technical requirements provide	e and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with ed for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	see Supplemental Box for further	details.

International application No. PCT/JP 03/15572

Supplemental Box	
To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)	

Continuation of: III. 1.

The inventions set forth in claims 18-23 pertain to methods for treatment of the human body by therapy.

International application No. PCT/JP 03/15572

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	4,7,8,11,13,14,17	YES
	Claims	1-3,5,6,9,10,12,15,16	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-17	NO.
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-17	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

- Document 1: JP 2002-119265 A (Sunstar Inc.), 23 April 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 2: JP 2000-169382 A (Sunstar Inc.) 20 June 2000 (Family: none)
- Document 3: JP 2000-300224 A (Nippon Del Monte Corp.), 31
 October 2000 (Family: none)
- Document 4: Oita Nosuisanbutsu Kako Sogo Shido Center Shiken Seiseki Hokokusho, 1997, Vol. 1996, pp. 5-8
- Document 5: Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi, 1998, Vol. 45, No. 2, pp. 138-144
- Document 6: J. Agric. Food Chem., 1998, Vol. 46, No.10, pp. 4118-4122
- Document 7: Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi 2001, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp. 246-252
- Document 8: J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, Vol. 50, No. 23, pp. 6910-6916
- Document 9: JP 11-1686 A (Yoshihide Hagiwara), 6 January 1999; paragraph [0008], (Family: none)
- Document 10: JP 61-112024 A (KK Horiuchi), 30 May 1986 (Family: none)
- Document 11: JP 2002-238536 A (Marine Bio Co., Ltd.), 27
 August 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 12: JP 4-8256 A (Junko Ebata), 13 January 1992

(Family: none)

- Document 13: JP 2000-219880 A (Taiyo Kagaku Co., Ltd.), 8
 August 2000 (Family: none)
- Document 14: JP 2002-308768 A (Fuji Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 23 October 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 15: JP 2002-226368 A (Fuji Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 14 August 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 16: JP 10-276721 A (Suntory Ltd.) 20 October 1998 (Family: none)
- Document 17: JP 2002-275018 A (Kose Corp.), 25 September 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 18: Shokuhin Kogyo Shidosho Kenkyu Hokoku 2002, Vol. 16, pp. 4-9
- Document 19: JP 2002-153210 A (Yukio Ishikura), 28 May 2002 (Family: None)
- Document 20: JP 2002-360205 A (Kikkoman Corp.), 17

 December 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 21: JP 2002-275076 A (KK Toyo Shin'yaku), 25 September 2002 (Family: none)
- Document 22: JP 2000-229834 A (Kanebo, Ltd.), 22 August 2000 (Family: none)
- Document 23: JP 6-199687 A (Morinaga Milk Industry Co., Ltd.), 19 July 1994 (Family: none)
- Document 24: J. Food Science 2002, Vol. 67, No. 5, pp. 1654-1659
- Document 25: J. Nutrition 2000, Vol. 130, No.9, pp. 2200-2206
- Document 26: J. Agric Food Chem., 1999, Vol. 47, No.4, pp. 1576-1581
- Document 27: Tohoku Nogyo Kenkyu, 1994, No. 47, pp. 317-318
- Document 28: Kyoto-fu Eisei Kenkyu Nenpo, 1986, No. 52, pp. 69-71
- Document 29: JP 2001-299305 A (Bizen Kasei KK), 30 October 2001 (Family: none)

Document 30: JP 2002-220340 A (Ito En, Ltd.), 9 August 2002 (Family: none)

Document 31: JP 2001-270832 A (Ito En, Ltd.), 2 October 2001 (Family: none)

Document 32: WO 98/33494 Al (John V. Kosbab), 6 August, 1998; claim 6 & JP 2001-511153 A

Document 33: Planta, 1999, Vol. 207, No. 4, pp. 604-611

Documents 1-33 above are cited in the international search report.

Documents 1-4 disclose compositions described in claims 1-3 and 5, containing green and yellow vegetables such as broccoli, spinach, parsley and carrots, and light coloured vegetables such as lettuce, cabbage and celery used as food. Document 5 also discloses the fact that aforementioned compositions have antioxidant activity, so that document 5 substantially discloses the inventions set forth in claims 6, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 16. Therefore, the inventions set forth in claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 16 are not novel and do not involve an inventive step.

Documents 5-12, 18, 19 and 29 disclose the fact that the various vegetables cited in claim 2 have antioxidant activity and/or act to lower active oxygen; documents 13-17, 19 and 20-23 disclose the fact that the various constituents cited in claim 4 have antioxidant activity and/or act to lower active oxygen, and documents 21, 30 and 31 disclose the use of antioxidants which include aforementioned constituents in the management of diabetes and/or resulting complications. In addition, documents 26-28 disclose the fact that vegetables cited in claim 2 are rich in vitamin E, and documents 24, 25 and 33 disclose the fact that vegetables cited in claim 2 lower TBARS levels. Moreover, the interrelationships among

International application No. PCT/JP 03/15572

antioxidant activity, suppression of peroxidized lipid, lowering of active oxygen, vitamin E content and therapeutic effects on TBARS levels or diabetic complications were known in the art before the filing date of the present application. Therefore, given the disclosures in the aforementioned documents of the fact that vegetables cited in claim 2 contain vitamin E and/or flavonoids, or that the aforementioned vegetables have some of the interrelated activities above, such as antioxidant activity, descovery of antioxidant activity, suppression of peroxidized lipid, lowering of active oxygen, vitamin E content and therapeutic effects on TBARS levels or diabetic complications in each of the vegetables is an option within the ordinary competence of a person skilled in the art. Moreover, the description does not appear to describe any marked effect due to combining the constituents mentioned above. Therefore, the inventions set forth in claims 1-17 do not involve an inventive step, because they could be deduced easily by a person skilled in the art with reference to the art disclosed in documents 1-33 above.